




Plano

Community Data Snapshot

Municipality Series

July 2023 Release



About the Community Data Snapshots

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the [Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning \(CMAP\)](#) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the [American Community Survey \(ACS\) five-year estimates](#), although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found on the CMAP website at cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots. The data is also available in table format at the [CMAP Data Hub](#). Please direct any inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov.

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick survey** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

User Notes

Definitions

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the [ACS subject definitions](#).

Margins of Error

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the [ACS sample size and data quality methodology](#).

Regional Values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Median Values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the [grouped frequency distributions](#) reported in the ACS.

Municipalities Located in Multiple Counties

County data is presented for the CMAP county containing the largest portion of the municipality's population, as of the 2020 decennial census.

Municipalities That Extend Beyond the CMAP Region

Values derived from CMAP analyses are generally restricted to geographies that fall within the CMAP regional boundaries. Specifically, values in the General Land Use, Equalized Assessed Value, Park Access, Transit Availability, Walkability, Water Supply, and ON TO 2050 Indicators tables only represent the portion of each municipality that falls within the seven-county CMAP region. This snapshot is for Plano, which does *not* extend beyond the CMAP region.

Comparing ACS Data Across Past Community Data Snapshots

When using multiple releases of the CDS, please take care not to compare overlapping ACS 5-year estimates. The Census Bureau provides [specific guidance](#) for when it is appropriate to compare ACS data across time. Please contact CMAP staff at info@cmap.illinois.gov if you have additional questions.

Population and Households

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Plano.

General Population Characteristics, 2020

	Plano	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Total Population	11,847	131,869	8,577,735
Total Households	3,863	43,534	3,266,741
Average Household Size	3.1	3.0	2.6
Percent Population Change, 2010-20	9.1	14.9	1.7
Percent Population Change, 2000-20	110.3	141.8	5.3

Source: 2000, 2010 and 2020 Census.

Race and Ethnicity, 2017-2021

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	6,649	61.1	87,114	66.6	4,289,683	50.1
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	3,567	32.8	26,347	20.1	2,005,239	23.4
Black (Non-Hispanic)	365	3.4	9,979	7.6	1,402,691	16.4
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	132	1.2	3,778	2.9	636,825	7.4
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	172	1.6	3,539	2.7	236,095	2.8

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, 2017-2021

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Under 5	1,212	11.1	8,289	6.3	502,928	5.9
5 to 19	1,941	17.8	32,355	24.7	1,662,052	19.4
20 to 34	2,753	25.3	23,180	17.7	1,774,853	20.7
35 to 49	2,607	24.0	31,440	24.0	1,724,098	20.1
50 to 64	1,274	11.7	21,959	16.8	1,659,323	19.4
65 to 74	662	6.1	8,784	6.7	746,030	8.7
75 to 84	274	2.5	3,450	2.6	347,665	4.1
85 and Over	162	1.5	1,300	1.0	153,584	1.8
Median Age	31.5		35.8		37.9	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, 2017-2021

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	794	11.2	5,307	6.4	630,588	10.8
High School Diploma or Equivalent	2,393	33.8	20,500	24.6	1,303,071	22.2
Some College, No Degree	1,398	19.8	18,382	22.1	1,090,002	18.6
Associate's Degree	1,059	15.0	9,652	11.6	418,936	7.1
Bachelor's Degree	1,109	15.7	19,348	23.3	1,443,539	24.6
Graduate or Professional Degree	317	4.5	9,978	12.0	978,676	16.7

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Nativity, 2017-2021

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Native	9,706	89.2	118,635	90.7	6,938,399	81.0
Foreign Born	1,179	10.8	12,122	9.3	1,632,134	19.0

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2017-2021

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	7,332	75.8	100,369	82.0	5,533,398	68.6
Spanish	2,260	23.4	15,872	13.0	1,479,334	18.3
Slavic Languages	27	0.3	1,101	0.9	289,350	3.6
Chinese	0	0.0	606	0.5	90,587	1.1
Tagalog	0	0.0	511	0.4	73,710	0.9
Arabic	0	0.0	87	0.1	63,720	0.8
Korean	0	0.0	105	0.1	37,671	0.5
Other Asian Languages	54	0.6	383	0.3	113,684	1.4
Other Indo-European Languages	0	0.0	3,008	2.5	328,784	4.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	0	0.0	426	0.3	57,367	0.7
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	2,341	24.2	22,099	18.0	2,534,207	31.4
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	698	7.2	6,443	5.3	940,619	11.7

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Household Size, 2017-2021

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1-Person Household	1,074	28.7	7,388	17.1	948,087	29.4
2-Person Household	852	22.8	12,602	29.2	993,509	30.8
3-Person Household	590	15.8	7,352	17.0	503,236	15.6
4-or-More-Person Household	1,229	32.8	15,883	36.7	775,919	24.1

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, 2017-2021

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Family	2,566	68.5	33,954	78.6	2,062,968	64.1
Single Parent with Child	571	15.2	3,851	8.9	257,853	8.0
Non-Family	1,179	31.5	9,271	21.4	1,157,783	35.9

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, 2017-2021

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$25,000	404	10.8	2,677	6.2	486,172	15.1
\$25,000 to \$49,999	622	16.6	4,237	9.8	532,670	16.5
\$50,000 to \$74,999	767	20.5	7,403	17.1	491,960	15.3
\$75,000 to \$99,999	454	12.1	6,843	15.8	407,959	12.7
\$100,000 to \$149,999	1,030	27.5	11,900	27.5	575,992	17.9
\$150,000 and Over	468	12.5	10,165	23.5	725,998	22.5
Median Income	\$82,883		\$101,816		\$81,102	
Per Capita Income*	\$31,040		\$38,343		\$43,128	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Universe: Total population

Household Computer and Internet Access, 2017-2021

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
One or More Computing Devices	3,547	94.7	42,122	97.4	3,019,317	93.7
Smartphone(s) Only	621	16.6	2,174	5.0	240,075	7.5
No Computing Devices	198	5.3	1,103	2.6	201,434	6.3
Internet Access	3,176	84.8	40,839	94.5	2,935,545	91.1
Broadband Subscription	3,176	84.8	40,229	93.1	2,855,152	88.6
No Internet Access	569	15.2	2,386	5.5	285,206	8.9

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2017-2021

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	3,745	93.1	43,225	97.3	3,220,751	92.3
Owner-Occupied*	2,580	68.9	36,363	84.1	2,075,416	64.4
Renter-Occupied*	1,165	31.1	6,862	15.9	1,145,335	35.6
Vacant Housing Units	276	6.9	1,218	2.7	267,011	7.7

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units
*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2017-2021

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$20,000	216	5.8	1,702	4.0	315,268	10.1
Less than 20 Percent	0	0.0	0	0.0	7,772	0.2
20 to 29 Percent	0	0.0	5	0.0	22,358	0.7
30 Percent or More	216	5.8	1,697	4.0	285,138	9.1
\$20,000 to \$49,999	810	21.6	4,843	11.3	632,790	20.2
Less than 20 Percent	254	6.8	784	1.8	69,735	2.2
20 to 29 Percent	229	6.1	944	2.2	123,043	3.9
30 Percent or More	327	8.7	3,115	7.3	440,012	14.0
\$50,000 to \$74,999	767	20.5	7,370	17.2	486,707	15.5
Less than 20 Percent	272	7.3	1,586	3.7	139,609	4.5
20 to 29 Percent	173	4.6	2,066	4.8	171,702	5.5
30 Percent or More	322	8.6	3,718	8.7	175,396	5.6
\$75,000 or More	1,952	52.1	28,908	67.5	1,701,200	54.2
Less than 20 Percent	1,459	39.0	16,430	38.4	1,134,826	36.2
20 to 29 Percent	442	11.8	9,679	22.6	422,329	13.5
30 Percent or More	51	1.4	2,799	6.5	144,045	4.6

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Housing & Transportation (H+T) Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2012-2016

	Median-Income Family**	Moderate-Income Family***
Housing Costs	21	27
Transportation Costs	23	24
TOTAL H+T COSTS	40	47

Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, [Location Affordability Index](#) (2012-2016).

*The purpose of the H+T Index is to isolate the effect of location on housing and transportation costs, and is reported for different household typologies. The values above represent the percent of household income that an average household of each type spends on housing and transportation. The standard threshold of affordability is 30% for housing costs alone, and 45% for housing and transportation costs combined.

**"Median-income family" assumes a 4-person, 2-commuter household with income equal to the regional median.

***"Moderate-income family" assumes a 3-person, 1-commuter household with income equal to 80% of the regional median.

Housing Characteristics

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Plano.

Housing Type, 2017-2021

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	2,603	64.7	34,397	77.4	1,745,022	50.0
Single Family, Attached	910	22.6	6,381	14.4	259,184	7.4
2 Units	155	3.9	301	0.7	239,727	6.9
3 or 4 Units	160	4.0	1,069	2.4	274,341	7.9
5 to 9 Units	73	1.8	379	0.9	270,594	7.8
10 to 19 Units	41	1.0	1,253	2.8	155,969	4.5
20 or More Units	27	0.7	572	1.3	513,327	14.7
Mobile Home/Other*	52	1.3	91	0.2	29,598	0.8

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Housing Size, 2017-2021

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	320	8.0	1,914	4.3	566,228	16.2
2 Bedrooms	869	21.6	6,785	15.3	973,190	27.9
3 Bedrooms	1,929	48.0	17,327	39.0	1,156,700	33.2
4 Bedrooms	619	15.4	15,310	34.4	612,171	17.6
5 or More Bedrooms	284	7.1	3,107	7.0	179,473	5.1
Median Number of Rooms*	5.9		6.9		6.0	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.

Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, 2017-2021

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	1,885	46.9	22,955	51.7	509,505	14.6
Built 1970 to 1999	802	19.9	14,503	32.6	1,189,334	34.1
Built 1940 to 1969	799	19.9	4,666	10.5	1,048,502	30.1
Built Before 1940	535	13.3	2,319	5.2	740,421	21.2
Median Year Built	1995		2000		1969	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Transportation

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for Plano.

Vehicles Available per Household, 2017-2021

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	144	3.8	887	2.1	405,467	12.6
1 Vehicle Available	1,041	27.8	8,978	20.8	1,152,274	35.8
2 Vehicles Available	1,410	37.7	19,631	45.4	1,119,802	34.8
3 or More Vehicles Available	1,150	30.7	13,729	31.8	543,208	16.9

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, 2017-2021

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home*	210	3.7	6,835	10.3	486,188	11.5
Drive Alone	4,659	82.7	53,533	80.3	2,743,345	64.9
Carpool	547	9.7	4,532	6.8	321,231	7.6
Transit	0	0.0	760	1.1	465,784	11.0
Walk or Bike	0	0.0	319	0.5	151,257	3.6
Other	218	3.9	685	1.0	62,008	1.5
TOTAL COMMUTERS	5,424	96.3	59,829	89.7	3,743,625	88.5
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	31.8		33.9		31.7	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household*, 2021

	Plano	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year	N/A	23,780	15,653

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2021 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

*Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2017

	Plano	Kendall County	CMAP Region
High Transit Availability	0.0%	0.0%	53.9%
Moderate Transit Availability	0.0%	0.0%	20.6%
Low Transit Availability	100.0%	100.0%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 [Transit Availability Index](#).

*The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.

Employment

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Plano.

Employment Status, 2017-2021

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
In Labor Force	6,308	76.7	71,157	72.6	4,614,158	67.3
Employed†*	5,739	91.0	68,073	95.7	4,306,443	93.3
Unemployed*	538	8.5	3,030	4.3	295,199	6.4
Not in Labor Force	1,914	23.3	26,815	27.4	2,237,246	32.7

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

*Universe: In labor force

Private Sector Employment*, 2022

	Plano		Kendall County		6-County Region**	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Private Sector Employment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3,497,215	N/A
Job Change, 2012-22	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	235,962	7.2
Job Change, 2002-22	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	138,855	4.1
Private Sector Jobs per Household***	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.09	

Source: Illinois Department of Employment Security, Where Workers Work report (2022).

*Figures exclude employees not covered by unemployment insurance. Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

**Data is not available for Kendall County.

***Based on households from 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Employment of Plano Residents*, 2019

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Retail Trade	749	13.5
2. Manufacturing	742	13.4
3. Health Care	581	10.5
4. Education	475	8.6
5. Accommodation and Food Service	436	7.9
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Aurora	570	10.3
2. Chicago	511	9.2
3. Plano	367	6.6
4. Yorkville	272	4.9
5. Naperville	213	3.8

Employment in Plano*, 2019

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Transportation	1,051	40.8
2. Manufacturing	585	22.7
3. Education	324	12.6
4. Retail Trade	112	4.3
5. Accommodation and Food Service	92	3.6
TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS		
1. Plano	367	14.2
2. Aurora	132	5.1
3. Yorkville	83	3.2
4. Chicago	73	2.8
5. Montgomery	60	2.3

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2019).

*Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.

Land Use

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for Plano.

General Land Use, 2018

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	1,036.1	17.9
Multi-Family Residential	13.9	0.2
Commercial	118.9	2.1
Industrial	429.6	7.4
Institutional	139.5	2.4
Mixed Use	2.3	0.0
Transportation and Other	675.2	11.7
Agricultural	2,817.1	48.8
Open Space	34.8	0.6
Vacant	505.5	8.8
TOTAL	5,772.9	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 [Land Use Inventory](#).

Park Access, 2015

	Plano	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	1.17	8.87	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Park Access Layer](#).

*Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

Walkability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2018

	Plano	Kendall County	CMAP Region
High Walkability	0.0%	0.0%	44.7%
Moderate Walkability	0.0%	10.6%	24.8%
Low Walkability	100.0%	89.4%	30.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 [Walkability Layer](#).

*The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the presence/absence of sidewalks; the number of amenities within walking distance; population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.

Tax Base

The tax base tables include retail sales and equalized assessed values for Plano.

General Merchandise Retail Sales, 2022

	Plano	Kendall County	CMAP Region
General Merchandise	\$186,587,697	\$1,824,160,877	\$137,918,287,566
Total Retail Sales	\$234,302,884	\$2,260,394,548	\$168,382,810,939
Total Sales per Capita*	\$21,525	\$17,287	\$19,647

Source: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2022.

*Per capita calculations based on population from 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Equalized Assessed Value, 2021

Residential	\$198,725,963
Commercial	\$27,226,433
Industrial	\$20,798,160
Railroad	\$597,521
Farm	\$2,237,484
Mineral	\$0
TOTAL	\$249,585,561

Sources: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2021.

Change Over Time

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2017-2021 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2007-2011 ACS. Historic data may not be available for municipalities that were incorporated after 2000.

Race and Ethnicity, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	72.3	59.4	61.1
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	25.8	34.0	32.8
Black (Non-Hispanic)	0.3	3.9	3.4
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	0.3	0.3	1.2
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	1.2	2.4	1.6

Source: 2000 Census; 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
19 and Under	34.4	33.4	29.0
20 to 34	22.2	25.4	25.3
35 to 49	21.3	24.3	24.0
50 to 64	12.6	10.4	11.7
65 and Over	9.5	6.5	10.1
Median Age	30.8	29.9	31.5

Source: 2000 Census; 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
Less than High School Diploma	24.6	18.7	11.2
High School Diploma or Equivalent	34.8	23.8	33.8
Some College, No Degree	25.0	29.1	19.8
Associate's Degree	5.4	7.3	15.0
Bachelor's Degree	8.1	15.7	15.7
Graduate or Professional Degree	2.1	5.4	4.5

Source: 2000 Census; 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Nativity, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
Native	82.5	89.2
Foreign Born	17.5	10.8

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
English Only	65.3	75.8
Spanish	29.6	23.4
Slavic Languages	2.1	0.3
Chinese	0.0	0.0
Tagalog	0.0	0.0
Arabic	0.0	0.0
Korean	0.0	0.0
Other Asian Languages	0.0	0.6
Other Indo-European Languages	1.6	0.0
Other/Unspecified Languages	1.3	0.0
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	34.7	24.2
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	14.3	7.2

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Household Size, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
1-Person Household	22.1	28.7
2-Person Household	24.5	22.8
3-Person Household	17.0	15.8
4-or-More-Person Household	36.5	32.8

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
Family	75.0	68.5
Single Parent with Child	8.7	15.2
Non-Family	25.0	31.5

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, Over Time

	2007-2011 (2021 Dollars)	2017-2021 (2021 Dollars)
Median Income	\$72,729	\$82,883

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
Occupied Housing Units	96.4	91.5	93.1
Owner-Occupied*	71.0	84.4	68.9
Renter-Occupied*	29.0	15.6	31.1
Vacant Housing Units	3.6	8.5	6.9

Source: 2000 Census; 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
Less than \$20,000	6.8	5.8
Less than 20 Percent	0.0	0.0
20 to 29 Percent	1.0	0.0
30 Percent or More	5.8	5.8
\$20,000 to \$49,999	30.3	21.6
Less than 20 Percent	1.2	6.8
20 to 29 Percent	9.6	6.1
30 Percent or More	19.5	8.7
\$50,000 to \$74,999	24.1	20.5
Less than 20 Percent	5.1	7.3
20 to 29 Percent	5.3	4.6
30 Percent or More	13.6	8.6
\$75,000 or More	37.8	52.1
Less than 20 Percent	14.0	39.0
20 to 29 Percent	15.6	11.8
30 Percent or More	8.2	1.4

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Housing Type, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
Single Family, Detached	72.9	64.7
Single Family, Attached	16.9	22.6
2 Units	0.4	3.9
3 or 4 Units	5.3	4.0
5 or More Units	4.5	3.5
Mobile Home/Other*	0.0	1.3

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
*“Other” includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

Housing Size, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	6.0	8.0
2 Bedrooms	29.2	21.6
3 Bedrooms	39.3	48.0
4 Bedrooms	20.4	15.4
5 or More Bedrooms	5.1	7.1
Median Number of Rooms*	5.9	5.9

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.
Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
Built 2000 or Later	43.0	46.9
Built 1970 to 1999	21.5	19.9
Built 1940 to 1969	25.6	19.9
Built Before 1940	9.9	13.3
Median Year Built	1989	1995

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
No Vehicle Available	4.0	3.8
1 Vehicle Available	24.2	27.8
2 Vehicles Available	51.5	37.7
3 or More Vehicles Available	20.3	30.7

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
Work at Home*	4.1	3.7
Drive Alone	82.7	82.7
Carpool	9.7	9.7
Transit	1.5	0.0
Walk or Bike	0.3	0.0
Other	1.7	3.9
TOTAL COMMUTERS	95.9	96.3
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	34.9	31.8

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Employment Status, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
In Labor Force	76.0	76.7
Employed ^{†*}	92.9	91.0
Unemployed*	6.3	8.5
Not in Labor Force	24.0	23.3

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

[†]Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

*Universe: In labor force

Water Supply

CMAP supports an integrated approach to water resource management, and encourages communities to incorporate water supply and demand considerations into land use, transportation, and infrastructure investment decisions. Assessing demand, price, and loss trends of a community can inform decisions that strengthen regional water supply management, maintain drinking water infrastructure, and manage demand. CMAP's ON TO 2050 plan contains [more information](#) about how communities can coordinate and conserve our shared water supply resources.

Water Source and Demand Trends of Plano*

Primary Water Source: Shallow Groundwater**

	2003 MGD***	2013 MGD***	Percent Change
Total Water Withdrawals****	0.79	0.72	-9.7
Residential Sector	0.67	0.60	-9.7
Non-Residential Sector	0.12	0.11	-9.7

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2003-2013).

*Only available for municipalities with community water suppliers providing service to the majority of the community.

**The primary water source of a community is based on the source of the majority of withdrawals from all wells and intakes within the community, including community water suppliers and industrial and commercial businesses. The majority of withdrawals is calculated as an average from yearly data, given year to year fluctuations.

***Millions of gallons per day.

****Total includes all community water suppliers and industrial and commercial wells/intakes within a municipality; private residential wells are not included. Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. Non-residential sector includes withdrawals identified as non-residential by the community water suppliers and withdrawals from industrial and commercial wells/intakes.

Daily Residential Water Demand per Capita

	Plano			CMAP Region		
	2003	2013	Percent Change	2003	2013	Percent Change
Residential* (GPCD**)	99.6	54.3	-45.5	104.2	87.5	-16.0

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2003-2013).

*Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. The prevalence of private residential wells or community water suppliers that provide water outside of the municipal boundary could lead to artificially lower or higher GPCD values respectively.

**Gallons of water per capita per day (estimated unit use). Population values used in sector totals come from the U.S. Census.

Residential Water and Wastewater Price Trends*

MONTHLY COST PER 5,000 GALLONS**	2014	2020	Percent Change	Annualized Percent Change
	(2021 Dollars)	(2021 Dollars)		
Drinking Water	\$27.30	\$24.97	-8.5	-1.5
Sewer	\$38.46	\$35.18	-8.5	-1.5
Combined***	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source: Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant Water Rates Data for Northeastern Illinois, IISG19-RCE-RLA-031.

*Only available for communities with water utilities and that responded to data requests. Percent changes and prices were adjusted for inflation using the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics' Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U).

**Monthly water bills are calculated as: $monthly\ base\ charge + ((5,000\ gallons - water\ provision\ included\ in\ base\ charge) \times \$/1,000\ gallons)$. Note that actual billing calculation practices may vary across communities.

***Some utilities combine drinking water and sewer prices, rather than separating them as two distinct rates.

Water Loss*

Reporting utility: N/A

	2017
Nonrevenue Water (Millions of Gallons per Day)**	N/A
Annual Cost of Nonrevenue Water	N/A
Percent of Nonrevenue Water to Water Supplied***	N/A

Source: Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Lake Michigan Allocation Program, 2017.

*Data is only regionally available for Lake Michigan permittees; water losses from other communities and industrial and commercial businesses are not reported to the state.

**Nonrevenue water is the difference between net annual pumpage (water supplied) and billed, authorized consumption. Non-revenue water includes water that is lost from the system due to underregistration of meters, systematic data handling errors, leakage anywhere within the distribution system, unauthorized consumption, or unbilled authorized consumption.

***The threshold for permit compliance is less than 12% of water supplied in Water Year 2015, decreasing to no more than 10% by Water Year 2019 and all years thereafter. Permittees that exceed the threshold are required to submit a water system improvement plan.

ON TO 2050 Indicators

ON TO 2050 is the region’s long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018 and updated in 2022. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region’s current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

Plan Chapter	Indicator	Plano	CMAP Region		Source
		Current	Current	2050 Target	
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	46.1%	53.6%	CMAP, 2018
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	41.9%	46.0%	CMAP, 2018
Prosperity	Population aged 25+ with an associate’s degree or higher	35.1%	48.4%	64.9%	ACS, 2017-2021
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	87.5%	81.2%	83.4%	ACS, 2017-2021
Environment	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	7.7%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	1.7%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.28	0.19	0.17	USGS NLCD, 2019
	Daily residential water demand per capita (gallons)	54.3	87.5	65.2	ISWS IWIP, 2013
Governance	State revenue disbursement per capita	\$379.65	\$379.91*	N/A**	CMAP, 2021
	Is per capita disbursement at least 80% of regional median?	Yes	Yes for 79% of municipalities	Yes for 100% of municipalities	CMAP, 2021
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	0.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	0.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non-single occupancy vehicle modes	13.4%	33.7%	37.3%	ACS, 2017-2021

*Median value of CMAP region’s 284 municipalities.

**ON TO 2050 does not have a target for state revenue disbursement per capita in dollars, but rather for the share of municipalities receiving at least 80% of the regional median. The dollar figures are presented as context for the next row.