




## Ashburn

Community Data Snapshot  
Chicago Community Area Series  
July 2023 Release



### About the Community Data Snapshots

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the [Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning \(CMAP\)](#) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the [American Community Survey \(ACS\) five-year estimates](#), although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found on the CMAP website at [cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots](http://cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots). The data is also available in table format at the [CMAP Data Hub](#). Please direct any inquiries to [info@cmap.illinois.gov](mailto:info@cmap.illinois.gov).

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick survey** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

### User Notes

#### Definitions

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the [ACS subject definitions](#).

#### Margins of Error

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the [ACS sample size and data quality methodology](#).

#### Regional Values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

#### Chicago Community Area (CCA) Values

CCA values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for census tracts and block groups. Data from tracts and block groups located in multiple CCAs is allocated proportionally based on the block-level distribution of population, households or housing units (as appropriate) from the most recent Decennial Census.

#### Median Values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the [grouped frequency distributions](#) reported in the ACS.

#### Comparing ACS Data Across Past Community Data Snapshots

When using multiple releases of the CDS, please take care not to compare overlapping ACS 5-year estimates. The Census Bureau provides [specific guidance](#) for when it is appropriate to compare ACS data across time. Please contact CMAP staff at [info@cmap.illinois.gov](mailto:info@cmap.illinois.gov) if you have additional questions.

## Population and Households

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Ashburn.

### General Population Characteristics, 2020

	Ashburn	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
<b>Total Population</b>	41,098	2,746,388	8,577,735
<b>Total Households</b>	12,909	1,142,725	3,266,741
<b>Average Household Size</b>	3.1	2.4	2.6
<b>Percent Population Change, 2010-20</b>	0.0	1.9	1.7
<b>Percent Population Change, 2000-20</b>	3.8	-5.2	5.3

Source: 2000, 2010 and 2020 Census.

### Race and Ethnicity, 2017-2021

	Ashburn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>White (Non-Hispanic)</b>	3,694	8.4	907,499	33.1	4,289,683	50.1
<b>Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)</b>	20,483	46.3	787,795	28.7	2,005,239	23.4
<b>Black (Non-Hispanic)</b>	19,048	43.1	788,673	28.8	1,402,691	16.4
<b>Asian (Non-Hispanic)</b>	358	0.8	185,202	6.8	636,825	7.4
<b>Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)</b>	632	1.4	72,950	2.7	236,095	2.8

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### Age Cohorts, 2017-2021

	Ashburn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Under 5</b>	2,319	5.2	163,123	5.9	502,928	5.9
<b>5 to 19</b>	10,426	23.6	461,695	16.8	1,662,052	19.4
<b>20 to 34</b>	8,494	19.2	741,032	27.0	1,774,853	20.7
<b>35 to 49</b>	9,433	21.3	556,412	20.3	1,724,098	20.1
<b>50 to 64</b>	8,074	18.3	469,414	17.1	1,659,323	19.4
<b>65 to 74</b>	3,403	7.7	208,056	7.6	746,030	8.7
<b>75 to 84</b>	1,375	3.1	99,230	3.6	347,665	4.1
<b>85 and Over</b>	691	1.6	43,157	1.6	153,584	1.8
<b>Median Age</b>	36.6		35.1		37.9	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

**Educational Attainment\*, 2017-2021**

	Ashburn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	5,463	19.3	263,057	13.7	630,588	10.8
High School Diploma or Equivalent	8,914	31.5	419,545	21.9	1,303,071	22.2
Some College, No Degree	6,257	22.1	326,785	17.0	1,090,002	18.6
Associate's Degree	2,248	7.9	110,376	5.7	418,936	7.1
Bachelor's Degree	3,400	12.0	462,522	24.1	1,443,539	24.6
Graduate or Professional Degree	2,011	7.1	337,486	17.6	978,676	16.7

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

\*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

**Nativity, 2017-2021**

	Ashburn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Native	36,108	81.7	2,188,765	79.8	6,938,399	81.0
Foreign Born	8,107	18.3	553,354	20.2	1,632,134	19.0

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

**Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2017-2021**

	Ashburn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	24,424	58.3	1,671,467	64.8	5,533,398	68.6
Spanish	16,088	38.4	606,594	23.5	1,479,334	18.3
Slavic Languages	121	0.3	75,676	2.9	289,350	3.6
Chinese	61	0.1	49,631	1.9	90,587	1.1
Tagalog	99	0.2	20,258	0.8	73,710	0.9
Arabic	379	0.9	14,932	0.6	63,720	0.8
Korean	0	0.0	8,055	0.3	37,671	0.5
Other Asian Languages	94	0.2	29,153	1.1	113,684	1.4
Other Indo-European Languages	430	1.0	77,526	3.0	328,784	4.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	200	0.5	25,704	1.0	57,367	0.7
<b>TOTAL NON-ENGLISH</b>	<b>17,472</b>	<b>41.7</b>	<b>907,529</b>	<b>35.2</b>	<b>2,534,207</b>	<b>31.4</b>
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	5,627	13.4	371,513	14.4	940,619	11.7

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

\*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Household Size, 2017-2021

	Ashburn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>1-Person Household</b>	2,954	22.0	425,352	38.2	948,087	29.4
<b>2-Person Household</b>	3,257	24.2	328,536	29.5	993,509	30.8
<b>3-Person Household</b>	2,497	18.6	150,999	13.6	503,236	15.6
<b>4-or-More-Person Household</b>	4,734	35.2	207,694	18.7	775,919	24.1

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, 2017-2021

	Ashburn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Family</b>	10,175	75.7	574,825	51.7	2,062,968	64.1
Single Parent with Child	1,217	9.1	97,029	8.7	257,853	8.0
<b>Non-Family</b>	3,267	24.3	537,756	48.3	1,157,783	35.9

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, 2017-2021

	Ashburn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Less than \$25,000</b>	2,080	15.5	242,342	21.8	486,172	15.1
<b>\$25,000 to \$49,999</b>	2,182	16.2	204,520	18.4	532,670	16.5
<b>\$50,000 to \$74,999</b>	2,680	19.9	166,043	14.9	491,960	15.3
<b>\$75,000 to \$99,999</b>	2,443	18.2	129,883	11.7	407,959	12.7
<b>\$100,000 to \$149,999</b>	2,600	19.3	163,331	14.7	575,992	17.9
<b>\$150,000 and Over</b>	1,457	10.8	206,462	18.6	725,998	22.5
<b>Median Income</b>	\$72,905		\$65,781		\$81,102	
<b>Per Capita Income*</b>	\$25,853		\$41,821		\$43,128	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

\*Universe: Total population

Household Computer and Internet Access, 2017-2021

	Ashburn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>One or More Computing Devices</b>	12,588	93.6	1,021,348	91.8	3,019,317	93.7
Smartphone(s) Only	1,177	8.8	112,128	10.1	240,075	7.5
<b>No Computing Devices</b>	854	6.4	91,233	8.2	201,434	6.3
<b>Internet Access</b>	11,926	88.7	979,268	88.0	2,935,545	91.1
Broadband Subscription	11,634	86.5	939,349	84.4	2,855,152	88.6
<b>No Internet Access</b>	1,516	11.3	133,313	12.0	285,206	8.9

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2017-2021

	Ashburn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Occupied Housing Units</b>	13,442	97.0	1,112,581	88.8	3,220,751	92.3
Owner-Occupied*	11,254	83.7	507,809	45.6	2,075,416	64.4
Renter-Occupied*	2,188	16.3	604,772	54.4	1,145,335	35.6
<b>Vacant Housing Units</b>	418	3.0	139,897	11.2	267,011	7.7

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units  
\*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income\*, 2017-2021

	Ashburn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Less than \$20,000</b>	1,439	10.9	161,490	15.1	315,268	10.1
Less than 20 Percent	30	0.2	4,233	0.4	7,772	0.2
20 to 29 Percent	25	0.2	13,939	1.3	22,358	0.7
30 Percent or More	1,384	10.5	143,318	13.4	285,138	9.1
<b>\$20,000 to \$49,999</b>	2,644	20.0	249,790	23.3	632,790	20.2
Less than 20 Percent	440	3.3	26,989	2.5	69,735	2.2
20 to 29 Percent	419	3.2	48,867	4.6	123,043	3.9
30 Percent or More	1,785	13.5	173,934	16.2	440,012	14.0
<b>\$50,000 to \$74,999</b>	2,680	20.2	163,939	15.3	486,707	15.5
Less than 20 Percent	770	5.8	45,130	4.2	139,609	4.5
20 to 29 Percent	821	6.2	59,362	5.5	171,702	5.5
30 Percent or More	1,089	8.2	59,447	5.5	175,396	5.6
<b>\$75,000 or More</b>	6,476	48.9	496,257	46.3	1,701,200	54.2
Less than 20 Percent	4,271	32.3	328,097	30.6	1,134,826	36.2
20 to 29 Percent	1,889	14.3	126,224	11.8	422,329	13.5
30 Percent or More	316	2.4	41,936	3.9	144,045	4.6

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

\*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

## Housing Characteristics

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Ashburn.

### Housing Type, 2017-2021

	Ashburn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	12,494	90.1	326,305	26.1	1,745,022	50.0
Single Family, Attached	174	1.3	43,303	3.5	259,184	7.4
2 Units	325	2.3	176,711	14.1	239,727	6.9
3 or 4 Units	334	2.4	184,313	14.7	274,341	7.9
5 to 9 Units	262	1.9	142,818	11.4	270,594	7.8
10 to 19 Units	166	1.2	54,675	4.4	155,969	4.5
20 or More Units	105	0.8	320,992	25.6	513,327	14.7
Mobile Home/Other*	0	0.0	3,361	0.3	29,598	0.8

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.  
 \*"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

### Housing Size, 2017-2021

	Ashburn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	547	3.9	333,786	26.7	566,228	16.2
2 Bedrooms	1,986	14.3	424,005	33.9	973,190	27.9
3 Bedrooms	7,551	54.5	336,226	26.8	1,156,700	33.2
4 Bedrooms	2,763	19.9	110,325	8.8	612,171	17.6
5 or More Bedrooms	1,013	7.3	48,136	3.8	179,473	5.1
Median Number of Rooms*	6.6		4.8		6.0	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

\*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.  
 Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

### Housing Age, 2017-2021

	Ashburn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	244	1.8	148,271	11.8	509,505	14.6
Built 1970 to 1999	1,782	12.9	220,148	17.6	1,189,334	34.1
Built 1940 to 1969	11,367	82.0	378,892	30.3	1,048,502	30.1
Built Before 1940	467	3.4	505,167	40.3	740,421	21.2
Median Year Built	1957		1951		1969	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

## Transportation

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for Ashburn.

### Vehicles Available per Household, 2017-2021

	Ashburn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>No Vehicle Available</b>	955	7.1	293,840	26.4	405,467	12.6
<b>1 Vehicle Available</b>	4,376	32.6	495,478	44.5	1,152,274	35.8
<b>2 Vehicles Available</b>	5,080	37.8	241,868	21.7	1,119,802	34.8
<b>3 or More Vehicles Available</b>	3,031	22.5	81,395	7.3	543,208	16.9

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

### Mode of Travel to Work, 2017-2021

	Ashburn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Work at Home*</b>	1,188	6.4	166,771	12.3	486,188	11.5
<b>Drive Alone</b>	12,636	68.6	641,412	47.4	2,743,345	64.9
<b>Carpool</b>	1,999	10.8	101,838	7.5	321,231	7.6
<b>Transit</b>	2,129	11.6	316,453	23.4	465,784	11.0
<b>Walk or Bike</b>	98	0.5	99,182	7.3	151,257	3.6
<b>Other</b>	376	2.0	27,118	2.0	62,008	1.5
<b>TOTAL COMMUTERS</b>	17,238	93.6	1,186,003	87.7	3,743,625	88.5
<b>Mean Commute Time (Minutes)</b>	39.2		34.4		31.7	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

\*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

### Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household\*, 2021

	Ashburn	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
<b>Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year</b>	16,825	10,316	15,653

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2021 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

\*Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

### Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations\*, 2017

	Ashburn	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
<b>High Transit Availability</b>	100.0%	99.3%	53.9%
<b>Moderate Transit Availability</b>	0.0%	0.5%	20.6%
<b>Low Transit Availability</b>	0.0%	0.2%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 [Transit Availability Index](#).

\*The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.



## Employment

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Ashburn.

### Employment Status, 2017-2021

	Ashburn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>In Labor Force</b>	21,737	63.6	1,509,191	67.3	4,614,158	67.3
Employed†*	19,159	88.1	1,381,372	91.5	4,306,443	93.3
Unemployed*	2,578	11.9	127,044	8.4	295,199	6.4
<b>Not in Labor Force</b>	12,427	36.4	733,062	32.7	2,237,246	32.7

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

\*Universe: In labor force

### Employment of Ashburn Residents\*, 2019

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Health Care	2,472	14.5
2. Education	1,977	11.6
3. Retail Trade	1,558	9.2
4. Accommodation and Food Service	1,430	8.4
5. Public Administration	1,428	8.4
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	7,307	42.9
2. The Loop	3,754	22.1
3. Near West Side	916	5.4
4. Near North Side	876	5.1
5. Ashburn	224	1.3

### Employment in Ashburn\*, 2019

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Utilities	774	18.6
2. Health Care	744	17.9
3. Retail Trade	721	17.3
4. Manufacturing	408	9.8
5. Accommodation and Food Service	350	8.4
TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	2,352	56.6
2. Ashburn	224	5.4
3. Chicago Lawn	108	2.6
4. Auburn Gresham	107	2.6
5. Gage Park	61	1.5

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2019).

\*Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.

## Land Use

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for Ashburn.

### General Land Use, 2018

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	1,267.4	40.8
Multi-Family Residential	41.2	1.3
Commercial	125.4	4.0
Industrial	127.8	4.1
Institutional	166.5	5.4
Mixed Use	9.9	0.3
Transportation and Other	1,073.1	34.5
Agricultural	0.0	0.0
Open Space	259.9	8.4
Vacant	38.7	1.2
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3,109.8</b>	<b>100.0</b>

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 [Land Use Inventory](#).

### Park Access, 2015

	Ashburn	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	2.03	2.42	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Park Access Layer](#).

\*Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

### Walkability of Resident and Job Locations\*, 2018

	Ashburn	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Walkability	83.6%	96.4%	44.7%
Moderate Walkability	16.4%	1.8%	24.8%
Low Walkability	0.0%	1.8%	30.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 [Walkability Layer](#).

\*The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the presence/absence of sidewalks; the number of amenities within walking distance; population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.

### Change Over Time

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2017-2021 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2007-2011 ACS.

#### Race and Ethnicity, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	36.7	16.0	8.4
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	16.9	31.4	46.3
Black (Non-Hispanic)	43.1	51.6	43.1
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	1.0	0.3	0.8
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	2.3	0.7	1.4

Source: 2000 Census; 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

#### Age Cohorts, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
19 and Under	31.2	28.8
20 to 34	19.9	19.2
35 to 49	20.5	21.3
50 to 64	18.5	18.3
65 and Over	10.0	12.4
Median Age	34.2	36.6

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

#### Educational Attainment\*, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
Less than High School Diploma	17.8	19.3
High School Diploma or Equivalent	29.8	31.5
Some College, No Degree	25.5	22.1
Associate's Degree	8.2	7.9
Bachelor's Degree	12.9	12.0
Graduate or Professional Degree	5.8	7.1

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

\*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Nativity, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
<b>Native</b>	85.4	81.7
<b>Foreign Born</b>	14.6	18.3

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
<b>English Only</b>	69.9	58.3
<b>Spanish</b>	27.2	38.4
<b>Slavic Languages</b>	0.6	0.3
<b>Chinese</b>	0.1	0.1
<b>Tagalog</b>	0.0	0.2
<b>Arabic</b>	1.3	0.9
<b>Korean</b>	0.0	0.0
<b>Other Asian Languages</b>	0.0	0.2
<b>Other Indo-European Languages</b>	0.7	1.0
<b>Other/Unspecified Languages</b>	0.2	0.5
<b>TOTAL NON-ENGLISH</b>	30.1	41.7
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	11.9	13.4

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

\*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Household Size, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
<b>1-Person Household</b>	18.9	22.0
<b>2-Person Household</b>	24.0	24.2
<b>3-Person Household</b>	21.8	18.6
<b>4-or-More-Person Household</b>	35.2	35.2

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
<b>Family</b>	79.0	75.7
Single Parent with Child	11.2	9.1
<b>Non-Family</b>	21.0	24.3

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, Over Time

	2007-2011 (2021 Dollars)	2017-2021 (2021 Dollars)
<b>Median Income</b>	\$77,260	\$72,905

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
<b>Occupied Housing Units</b>	95.5	97.0
Owner-Occupied*	87.5	83.7
Renter-Occupied*	12.5	16.3
<b>Vacant Housing Units</b>	4.5	3.0

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

\*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income\*, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
<b>Less than \$20,000</b>	8.3	10.9
Less than 20 Percent	0.2	0.2
20 to 29 Percent	0.8	0.2
30 Percent or More	7.3	10.5
<b>\$20,000 to \$49,999</b>	27.5	20.0
Less than 20 Percent	5.9	3.3
20 to 29 Percent	4.4	3.2
30 Percent or More	17.2	13.5
<b>\$50,000 to \$74,999</b>	19.1	20.2
Less than 20 Percent	3.3	5.8
20 to 29 Percent	7.1	6.2
30 Percent or More	8.8	8.2
<b>\$75,000 or More</b>	42.1	48.9
Less than 20 Percent	25.2	32.3
20 to 29 Percent	13.0	14.3
30 Percent or More	3.9	2.4

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

\*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Housing Type, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
Single Family, Detached	87.0	90.1
Single Family, Attached	0.4	1.3
2 Units	1.8	2.3
3 or 4 Units	5.1	2.4
5 or More Units	5.6	3.8
Mobile Home/Other*	0.1	0.0

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.  
 \*"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

Housing Size, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	2.7	3.9
2 Bedrooms	16.3	14.3
3 Bedrooms	58.5	54.5
4 Bedrooms	17.4	19.9
5 or More Bedrooms	5.0	7.3
Median Number of Rooms*	6.4	6.6

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.  
 \*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.  
 Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Universe: Housing units

Housing Age, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
Built 2000 or Later	2.3	1.8
Built 1970 to 1999	15.6	12.9
Built 1940 to 1969	77.5	82.0
Built Before 1940	4.6	3.4
Median Year Built	1958	1957

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
No Vehicle Available	7.9	7.1
1 Vehicle Available	32.8	32.6
2 Vehicles Available	42.4	37.8
3 or More Vehicles Available	16.9	22.5

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
Work at Home*	1.3	6.4
Drive Alone	75.0	68.6
Carpool	8.4	10.8
Transit	13.7	11.6
Walk or Bike	1.0	0.5
Other	0.6	2.0
<b>TOTAL COMMUTERS</b>	<b>98.7</b>	<b>93.6</b>
<b>Mean Commute Time (Minutes)</b>	<b>36.7</b>	<b>39.2</b>

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

\*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Employment Status, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
<b>In Labor Force</b>	<b>62.8</b>	<b>63.6</b>
Employed <sup>†*</sup>	89.8	88.1
Unemployed*	10.2	11.9
<b>Not in Labor Force</b>	<b>37.2</b>	<b>36.4</b>

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

<sup>†</sup>Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

\*Universe: In labor force



### ON TO 2050 Indicators

ON TO 2050 is the region’s long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018 and updated in 2022. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region’s current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit [cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators](http://cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators) to learn more.

Plan Chapter	Indicator	Ashburn	CMAP Region		Source
		Current	Current	2050 Target	
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	87.2%	46.1%	53.6%	CMAP, 2018
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	58.7%	41.9%	46.0%	CMAP, 2018
Prosperity	Population aged 25+ with an associate’s degree or higher	27.1%	48.4%	64.9%	ACS, 2017-2021
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	77.0%	81.2%	83.4%	ACS, 2017-2021
Environment	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	14.5%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.16	0.19	0.17	USGS NLCD, 2019
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non-single occupancy vehicle modes	29.4%	33.7%	37.3%	ACS, 2017-2021