




## McKinley Park

Community Data Snapshot

Chicago Community Area Series

July 2023 Release



## About the Community Data Snapshots

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the [Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning \(CMAP\)](#) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the [American Community Survey \(ACS\) five-year estimates](#), although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found on the CMAP website at [cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots](http://cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots). The data is also available in table format at the [CMAP Data Hub](#). Please direct any inquiries to [info@cmap.illinois.gov](mailto:info@cmap.illinois.gov).

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick survey** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

## User Notes

### Definitions

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the [ACS subject definitions](#).

### Margins of Error

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the [ACS sample size and data quality methodology](#).

### Regional Values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

### Chicago Community Area (CCA) Values

CCA values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for census tracts and block groups. Data from tracts and block groups located in multiple CCAs is allocated proportionally based on the block-level distribution of population, households or housing units (as appropriate) from the most recent Decennial Census.

### Median Values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the [grouped frequency distributions](#) reported in the ACS.

### Comparing ACS Data Across Past Community Data Snapshots

When using multiple releases of the CDS, please take care not to compare overlapping ACS 5-year estimates. The Census Bureau provides [specific guidance](#) for when it is appropriate to compare ACS data across time. Please contact CMAP staff at [info@cmap.illinois.gov](mailto:info@cmap.illinois.gov) if you have additional questions.

## Population and Households

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for McKinley Park.

### General Population Characteristics, 2020

	McKinley Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
<b>Total Population</b>	15,923	2,746,388	8,577,735
<b>Total Households</b>	5,538	1,142,725	3,266,741
<b>Average Household Size</b>	2.9	2.4	2.6
<b>Percent Population Change, 2010-20</b>	2.0	1.9	1.7
<b>Percent Population Change, 2000-20</b>	-0.2	-5.2	5.3

Source: 2000, 2010 and 2020 Census.

### Race and Ethnicity, 2017-2021

	McKinley Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>White (Non-Hispanic)</b>	2,258	14.5	907,499	33.1	4,289,683	50.1
<b>Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)</b>	8,493	54.6	787,795	28.7	2,005,239	23.4
<b>Black (Non-Hispanic)</b>	226	1.5	788,673	28.8	1,402,691	16.4
<b>Asian (Non-Hispanic)</b>	4,419	28.4	185,202	6.8	636,825	7.4
<b>Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)</b>	162	1.0	72,950	2.7	236,095	2.8

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### Age Cohorts, 2017-2021

	McKinley Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Under 5</b>	638	4.1	163,123	5.9	502,928	5.9
<b>5 to 19</b>	2,914	18.7	461,695	16.8	1,662,052	19.4
<b>20 to 34</b>	3,503	22.5	741,032	27.0	1,774,853	20.7
<b>35 to 49</b>	3,250	20.9	556,412	20.3	1,724,098	20.1
<b>50 to 64</b>	3,613	23.2	469,414	17.1	1,659,323	19.4
<b>65 to 74</b>	942	6.1	208,056	7.6	746,030	8.7
<b>75 to 84</b>	499	3.2	99,230	3.6	347,665	4.1
<b>85 and Over</b>	199	1.3	43,157	1.6	153,584	1.8
<b>Median Age</b>	39.2		35.1		37.9	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

**Educational Attainment\*, 2017-2021**

	McKinley Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	2,452	22.6	263,057	13.7	630,588	10.8
High School Diploma or Equivalent	3,224	29.7	419,545	21.9	1,303,071	22.2
Some College, No Degree	1,539	14.2	326,785	17.0	1,090,002	18.6
Associate's Degree	556	5.1	110,376	5.7	418,936	7.1
Bachelor's Degree	1,736	16.0	462,522	24.1	1,443,539	24.6
Graduate or Professional Degree	1,337	12.3	337,486	17.6	978,676	16.7

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

\*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

**Nativity, 2017-2021**

	McKinley Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Native	9,508	61.1	2,188,765	79.8	6,938,399	81.0
Foreign Born	6,050	38.9	553,354	20.2	1,632,134	19.0

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

**Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2017-2021**

	McKinley Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	4,933	33.1	1,671,467	64.8	5,533,398	68.6
Spanish	6,195	41.5	606,594	23.5	1,479,334	18.3
Slavic Languages	75	0.5	75,676	2.9	289,350	3.6
Chinese	3,270	21.9	49,631	1.9	90,587	1.1
Tagalog	48	0.3	20,258	0.8	73,710	0.9
Arabic	26	0.2	14,932	0.6	63,720	0.8
Korean	25	0.2	8,055	0.3	37,671	0.5
Other Asian Languages	156	1.0	29,153	1.1	113,684	1.4
Other Indo-European Languages	191	1.3	77,526	3.0	328,784	4.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	1	0.0	25,704	1.0	57,367	0.7
<b>TOTAL NON-ENGLISH</b>	<b>9,987</b>	<b>66.9</b>	<b>907,529</b>	<b>35.2</b>	<b>2,534,207</b>	<b>31.4</b>
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	4,888	32.8	371,513	14.4	940,619	11.7

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

\*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Household Size, 2017-2021

	McKinley Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1-Person Household	1,509	27.6	425,352	38.2	948,087	29.4
2-Person Household	1,355	24.7	328,536	29.5	993,509	30.8
3-Person Household	1,031	18.8	150,999	13.6	503,236	15.6
4-or-More-Person Household	1,582	28.9	207,694	18.7	775,919	24.1

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, 2017-2021

	McKinley Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Family	3,484	63.6	574,825	51.7	2,062,968	64.1
Single Parent with Child	282	5.1	97,029	8.7	257,853	8.0
Non-Family	1,993	36.4	537,756	48.3	1,157,783	35.9

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, 2017-2021

	McKinley Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$25,000	815	14.9	242,342	21.8	486,172	15.1
\$25,000 to \$49,999	1,306	23.8	204,520	18.4	532,670	16.5
\$50,000 to \$74,999	867	15.8	166,043	14.9	491,960	15.3
\$75,000 to \$99,999	734	13.4	129,883	11.7	407,959	12.7
\$100,000 to \$149,999	1,097	20.0	163,331	14.7	575,992	17.9
\$150,000 and Over	658	12.0	206,462	18.6	725,998	22.5
Median Income	\$65,872		\$65,781		\$81,102	
Per Capita Income*	\$28,368		\$41,821		\$43,128	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

\*Universe: Total population

Household Computer and Internet Access, 2017-2021

	McKinley Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
One or More Computing Devices	5,157	94.2	1,021,348	91.8	3,019,317	93.7
Smartphone(s) Only	629	11.5	112,128	10.1	240,075	7.5
No Computing Devices	320	5.8	91,233	8.2	201,434	6.3
Internet Access	4,917	89.8	979,268	88.0	2,935,545	91.1
Broadband Subscription	4,816	87.9	939,349	84.4	2,855,152	88.6
No Internet Access	560	10.2	133,313	12.0	285,206	8.9

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2017-2021

	McKinley Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Occupied Housing Units</b>	5,477	93.1	1,112,581	88.8	3,220,751	92.3
Owner-Occupied*	3,663	66.9	507,809	45.6	2,075,416	64.4
Renter-Occupied*	1,814	33.1	604,772	54.4	1,145,335	35.6
<b>Vacant Housing Units</b>	407	6.9	139,897	11.2	267,011	7.7

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units  
\*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income\*, 2017-2021

	McKinley Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Less than \$20,000</b>	525	9.9	161,490	15.1	315,268	10.1
Less than 20 Percent	0	0.0	4,233	0.4	7,772	0.2
20 to 29 Percent	0	0.0	13,939	1.3	22,358	0.7
30 Percent or More	525	9.9	143,318	13.4	285,138	9.1
<b>\$20,000 to \$49,999</b>	1,449	27.4	249,790	23.3	632,790	20.2
Less than 20 Percent	302	5.7	26,989	2.5	69,735	2.2
20 to 29 Percent	247	4.7	48,867	4.6	123,043	3.9
30 Percent or More	900	17.0	173,934	16.2	440,012	14.0
<b>\$50,000 to \$74,999</b>	843	15.9	163,939	15.3	486,707	15.5
Less than 20 Percent	403	7.6	45,130	4.2	139,609	4.5
20 to 29 Percent	208	3.9	59,362	5.5	171,702	5.5
30 Percent or More	232	4.4	59,447	5.5	175,396	5.6
<b>\$75,000 or More</b>	2,477	46.8	496,257	46.3	1,701,200	54.2
Less than 20 Percent	1,968	37.2	328,097	30.6	1,134,826	36.2
20 to 29 Percent	391	7.4	126,224	11.8	422,329	13.5
30 Percent or More	118	2.2	41,936	3.9	144,045	4.6

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

\*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

## Housing Characteristics

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for McKinley Park.

### Housing Type, 2017-2021

	McKinley Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	2,172	36.9	326,305	26.1	1,745,022	50.0
Single Family, Attached	276	4.7	43,303	3.5	259,184	7.4
2 Units	2,116	36.0	176,711	14.1	239,727	6.9
3 or 4 Units	966	16.4	184,313	14.7	274,341	7.9
5 to 9 Units	201	3.4	142,818	11.4	270,594	7.8
10 to 19 Units	46	0.8	54,675	4.4	155,969	4.5
20 or More Units	75	1.3	320,992	25.6	513,327	14.7
Mobile Home/Other*	32	0.5	3,361	0.3	29,598	0.8

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.  
 \*"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

### Housing Size, 2017-2021

	McKinley Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	410	7.0	333,786	26.7	566,228	16.2
2 Bedrooms	2,128	36.2	424,005	33.9	973,190	27.9
3 Bedrooms	2,333	39.6	336,226	26.8	1,156,700	33.2
4 Bedrooms	507	8.6	110,325	8.8	612,171	17.6
5 or More Bedrooms	506	8.6	48,136	3.8	179,473	5.1
Median Number of Rooms*	5.9		4.8		6.0	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

\*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.  
 Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

### Housing Age, 2017-2021

	McKinley Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	664	11.3	148,271	11.8	509,505	14.6
Built 1970 to 1999	655	11.1	220,148	17.6	1,189,334	34.1
Built 1940 to 1969	916	15.6	378,892	30.3	1,048,502	30.1
Built Before 1940	3,649	62.0	505,167	40.3	740,421	21.2
Median Year Built	1930		1951		1969	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

## Transportation

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for McKinley Park.

### Vehicles Available per Household, 2017-2021

	McKinley Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>No Vehicle Available</b>	716	13.1	293,840	26.4	405,467	12.6
<b>1 Vehicle Available</b>	2,124	38.8	495,478	44.5	1,152,274	35.8
<b>2 Vehicles Available</b>	2,041	37.3	241,868	21.7	1,119,802	34.8
<b>3 or More Vehicles Available</b>	596	10.9	81,395	7.3	543,208	16.9

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

### Mode of Travel to Work, 2017-2021

	McKinley Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Work at Home*</b>	723	9.0	166,771	12.3	486,188	11.5
<b>Drive Alone</b>	3,998	49.6	641,412	47.4	2,743,345	64.9
<b>Carpool</b>	1,109	13.8	101,838	7.5	321,231	7.6
<b>Transit</b>	1,867	23.2	316,453	23.4	465,784	11.0
<b>Walk or Bike</b>	309	3.8	99,182	7.3	151,257	3.6
<b>Other</b>	48	0.6	27,118	2.0	62,008	1.5
<b>TOTAL COMMUTERS</b>	7,331	91.0	1,186,003	87.7	3,743,625	88.5
<b>Mean Commute Time (Minutes)</b>	31.0		34.4		31.7	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

\*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

### Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household\*, 2021

	McKinley Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
<b>Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year</b>	13,582	10,316	15,653

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2021 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

\*Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

### Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations\*, 2017

	McKinley Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
<b>High Transit Availability</b>	100.0%	99.3%	53.9%
<b>Moderate Transit Availability</b>	0.0%	0.5%	20.6%
<b>Low Transit Availability</b>	0.0%	0.2%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 [Transit Availability Index](#).

\*The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.



## Employment

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for McKinley Park.

### Employment Status, 2017-2021

	McKinley Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>In Labor Force</b>	8,900	69.1	1,509,191	67.3	4,614,158	67.3
Employed†*	8,251	92.7	1,381,372	91.5	4,306,443	93.3
Unemployed*	649	7.3	127,044	8.4	295,199	6.4
<b>Not in Labor Force</b>	3,974	30.9	733,062	32.7	2,237,246	32.7

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

\*Universe: In labor force

### Employment of McKinley Park Residents\*, 2019

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Accommodation and Food Service	852	14.1
2. Health Care	732	12.1
3. Manufacturing	581	9.6
4. Retail Trade	554	9.2
5. Administration	529	8.8
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	1,863	30.9
2. The Loop	1,156	19.2
3. Near North Side	472	7.8
4. Near West Side	402	6.7
5. Armour Square	227	3.8

### Employment in McKinley Park\*, 2019

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Administration	1,727	33.6
2. Retail Trade	812	15.8
3. Wholesale Trade	811	15.8
4. Manufacturing	536	10.4
5. Accommodation and Food Service	276	5.4
TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	2,321	45.1
2. McKinley Park	163	3.2
3. Brighton Park	163	3.2
4. Chicago Lawn	147	2.9
5. New City	105	2.0

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2019).

\*Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.

## Land Use

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for McKinley Park.

### General Land Use, 2018

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	125.1	13.8
Multi-Family Residential	107.2	11.8
Commercial	61.6	6.8
Industrial	156.4	17.3
Institutional	24.7	2.7
Mixed Use	11.3	1.2
Transportation and Other	304.3	33.6
Agricultural	0.0	0.0
Open Space	73.7	8.1
Vacant	40.9	4.5
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>905.2</b>	<b>100.0</b>

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 [Land Use Inventory](#).

### Park Access, 2015

	McKinley Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	2.59	2.42	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Park Access Layer](#).

\*Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

### Walkability of Resident and Job Locations\*, 2018

	McKinley Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Walkability	91.3%	96.4%	44.7%
Moderate Walkability	8.7%	1.8%	24.8%
Low Walkability	0.0%	1.8%	30.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 [Walkability Layer](#).

\*The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the presence/absence of sidewalks; the number of amenities within walking distance; population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.

## Change Over Time

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2017-2021 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2007-2011 ACS.

### Race and Ethnicity, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
<b>White (Non-Hispanic)</b>	28.9	20.1	14.5
<b>Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)</b>	61.5	59.2	54.6
<b>Black (Non-Hispanic)</b>	0.7	3.0	1.5
<b>Asian (Non-Hispanic)</b>	7.6	17.0	28.4
<b>Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)</b>	1.3	0.7	1.0

Source: 2000 Census; 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### Age Cohorts, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
<b>19 and Under</b>	29.9	22.8
<b>20 to 34</b>	22.5	22.5
<b>35 to 49</b>	21.1	20.9
<b>50 to 64</b>	18.4	23.2
<b>65 and Over</b>	8.1	10.5
<b>Median Age</b>	33.4	39.2

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### Educational Attainment\*, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
<b>Less than High School Diploma</b>	32.2	22.6
<b>High School Diploma or Equivalent</b>	36.4	29.7
<b>Some College, No Degree</b>	14.8	14.2
<b>Associate's Degree</b>	3.2	5.1
<b>Bachelor's Degree</b>	9.1	16.0
<b>Graduate or Professional Degree</b>	4.3	12.3

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

\*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Nativity, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
<b>Native</b>	63.4	61.1
<b>Foreign Born</b>	36.6	38.9

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
<b>English Only</b>	32.1	33.1
<b>Spanish</b>	49.3	41.5
<b>Slavic Languages</b>	0.9	0.5
<b>Chinese</b>	16.1	21.9
<b>Tagalog</b>	0.2	0.3
<b>Arabic</b>	0.6	0.2
<b>Korean</b>	0.0	0.2
<b>Other Asian Languages</b>	0.1	1.0
<b>Other Indo-European Languages</b>	0.5	1.3
<b>Other/Unspecified Languages</b>	0.1	0.0
<b>TOTAL NON-ENGLISH</b>	67.9	66.9
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	34.9	32.8

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

\*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

### Household Size, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
<b>1-Person Household</b>	21.7	27.6
<b>2-Person Household</b>	24.6	24.7
<b>3-Person Household</b>	18.7	18.8
<b>4-or-More-Person Household</b>	35.0	28.9

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

### Household Type, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
<b>Family</b>	73.6	63.6
Single Parent with Child	11.4	5.1
<b>Non-Family</b>	26.4	36.4

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

### Household Income, Over Time

	2007-2011 (2021 Dollars)	2017-2021 (2021 Dollars)
<b>Median Income</b>	\$50,687	\$65,872

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

### Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
<b>Occupied Housing Units</b>	89.1	93.1
Owner-Occupied*	57.2	66.9
Renter-Occupied*	42.8	33.1
<b>Vacant Housing Units</b>	10.9	6.9

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

\*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income\*, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
<b>Less than \$20,000</b>	19.0	9.9
Less than 20 Percent	0.6	0.0
20 to 29 Percent	1.0	0.0
30 Percent or More	17.4	9.9
<b>\$20,000 to \$49,999</b>	37.2	27.4
Less than 20 Percent	6.4	5.7
20 to 29 Percent	8.9	4.7
30 Percent or More	21.9	17.0
<b>\$50,000 to \$74,999</b>	18.7	15.9
Less than 20 Percent	5.5	7.6
20 to 29 Percent	6.5	3.9
30 Percent or More	6.8	4.4
<b>\$75,000 or More</b>	21.9	46.8
Less than 20 Percent	13.7	37.2
20 to 29 Percent	3.1	7.4
30 Percent or More	5.1	2.2

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

\*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Housing Type, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
Single Family, Detached	30.3	36.9
Single Family, Attached	4.9	4.7
2 Units	33.7	36.0
3 or 4 Units	21.2	16.4
5 or More Units	9.6	5.5
Mobile Home/Other*	0.3	0.5

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.  
\*“Other” includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

Housing Size, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	8.1	7.0
2 Bedrooms	40.3	36.2
3 Bedrooms	40.6	39.6
4 Bedrooms	7.3	8.6
5 or More Bedrooms	3.6	8.6
Median Number of Rooms*	5.7	5.9

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

\*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.  
Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
Built 2000 or Later	7.3	11.3
Built 1970 to 1999	9.5	11.1
Built 1940 to 1969	15.6	15.6
Built Before 1940	67.6	62.0
Median Year Built	1927	1930

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
No Vehicle Available	17.9	13.1
1 Vehicle Available	43.5	38.8
2 Vehicles Available	31.7	37.3
3 or More Vehicles Available	7.0	10.9

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
Work at Home*	2.5	9.0
Drive Alone	46.9	49.6
Carpool	19.4	13.8
Transit	28.1	23.2
Walk or Bike	2.4	3.8
Other	0.8	0.6
<b>TOTAL COMMUTERS</b>	<b>97.5</b>	<b>91.0</b>
<b>Mean Commute Time (Minutes)</b>	<b>31.2</b>	<b>31.0</b>

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

\*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Employment Status, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021 Percent
<b>In Labor Force</b>	<b>67.0</b>	<b>69.1</b>
Employed <sup>†*</sup>	86.6	92.7
Unemployed*	13.4	7.3
<b>Not in Labor Force</b>	<b>33.0</b>	<b>30.9</b>

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

<sup>†</sup>Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

\*Universe: In labor force



### ON TO 2050 Indicators

ON TO 2050 is the region’s long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018 and updated in 2022. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region’s current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit [cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators](http://cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators) to learn more.

Plan Chapter	Indicator	McKinley Park	CMAP Region		Source
		Current	Current	2050 Target	
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	95.2%	46.1%	53.6%	CMAP, 2018
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	76.1%	41.9%	46.0%	CMAP, 2018
Prosperity	Population aged 25+ with an associate’s degree or higher	33.5%	48.4%	64.9%	ACS, 2017-2021
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	82.4%	81.2%	83.4%	ACS, 2017-2021
Environment	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.13	0.19	0.17	USGS NLCD, 2019
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non-single occupancy vehicle modes	49.8%	33.7%	37.3%	ACS, 2017-2021